RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

To:

Technology Center 2100

DEC 1 9 2005 Pages Sent: 6

Facsimile Number: 571-273-8300

From:

Carlton H. Hoel

Texas Instruments Incorporated

Facsimile: 972-917-4418 Phone: 972-917-4365

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Rajko Milovanovic et al.

Serial No:

09/994,948

Filed: Art Unit: 11/27/2001

Examiner:

2195 K. Tang

Docket No.: Conf. No.: TI-32228

Customer No.:

1788 23494

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following papers are being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:

Gracia Sansam

Gracia Sansom

Date

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

X FACSIMILE COVER SHEET (1 SHEET) NEW APPLICATION DECLARATION ASSIGNMENT FORMAL DRAWINGS INFORMAL DRAWINGS CONTINUATION APP'N DIVISIONAL APP'N		AMENDMENT EOT NOTICE OF APPEAL X APPEAL (5 Pages) ISSUE FEE REPLY BRIEF (IN TRIPLICATE)
NAME OF INVENTOR(S):		RECEIPT DATE & SERIAL NO.:
Rajko Milovanovic et al.		Serial No.: 09/994,948 Filling Date: 11/27/2001 Conf. No.: 1788
TITLE OF INVENTION:		
Media Accelerator Quality of Service		
TI FILE NO.:	DEPOSIT ACCT. NO.:	
TI-32228	20-0668	
FAXED: 12/19/2005 DUE: 12/19/2005 ATTY/SECY: CHH/gs		

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the address named and contains legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this telecopy, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this communication is strictly prohibited. Applicable privileges are not waived by virtue of the document having been transmitted by Facsimile. Any misdirected facsimiles should be returned to the sender by mail at the address indicated on this cover sheet.

Texas Instruments Incorporated PO Box 655474, M/S 3999 Dallas, TX 75265

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appl.No.:

09/994,948

Confirmation No.: 1788

Appellant:

Milovanovic et al

Filed:

November 27, 2001

TC/AU:

2127

Examiner:

Tang

Docket:

TI-32228

Cust.No.:

23494

APPELLANTS' BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O.Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The attached sheets contain the Rule 41.37 items of appellants' brief. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee for filing a brief in support of the appeal plus any other necessary fees to the deposit account of Texas Instruments Incorporated, account No. 20-0668. A fee-transmittal sheet isbeselane.

Respectfully submitted,

Carlton H. Hoel Reg. No. 29,934

Texas Instruments Incorporated

PO Box 655474, M/S 3999 Dallas, Texas 75265

972.917.4365

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(i) Real party of interest

Texas Instruments Incorporated owns the application.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(ii) Related appeals and interferences

There are no related dispositive appeals or interferences.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(iii) Status of claims

Claims 1-5 are pending in the application with all claims finally rejected. This appeal involves the finally rejected claims.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(iv) Status of amendments

There is no amendment after final rejection.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(v) Summary of claimed subject matter

The invention provides a software framework for real-time media on an application processor which communicates with an algorithm processor which has a scheduler. Application Figs. 1a-1c heuristically show a general purpose processor (GPP) with plugins on the left (the application processor of claim 1) and a DSP with corresponding components on the right (the algorithm processor of claim 1); the framework provides for connecting a plugin to its corresponding component; and a real-time media application (Fig.1c upper left) would use the plugins to employ the processing power of the algorithm processor's components, such as an MP3 decoder, to perform part of the real-time media application. Fig. 2a further heuristically shows the scheduler on the algorithm processor and subtasks within the two components, and Fig. 2b shows the scheduler flowchart including quality of service (QoS) controls. Application pages 7-9 describe setup and QoS design including scheduling and event notification of claim 1.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(vi) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal

The grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal are:

(1) Claims 1-5 were rejected as unpatentable over the Goldband reference in view of the Swaminathan reference.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(vii) Arguments

(1) Claims 1-5 were rejected as unpatentable over Goldband in view of Swaminathan. The Examiner pointed to Goldband Figs. 1 and 3 for the arrangement of plugins and algorithm components plus [inherent] scheduler, and added Swaminathan to show real-time media.

Appellants reply that Goldband Fig.3 is detail of the persistent agent on the user machine of Fig.1 and shows both plugins and a scheduler on the applications (user) processor. In contrast, independent claim 1 requires a scheduler on the algorithm (server) processor with the scheduler providing quality of service by scheduling in response to controls plus notification back to the application of events. Goldband does not suggest this scheduler location nor any inherent scheduler with quality of service aspects; consequently, the claims are patentable over the references.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(viii) Claims appendix

- 1. A framework for real-time media applications on an applications processor in communication with an algorithm processor, comprising:
- (a) a plurality of plugins for a real-time media application on an applications processor;
- (b) a plurality of algorithm components on an algorithm processor, each of said plugins corresponding to one or more algorithm component(s), and said algorithm processor in communication with said applications processor;
 - (c) a component scheduler on said algorithm processor;
- (d) wherein said component scheduler provides quality of service for said application with regard to said components by: (i) component scheduling in response to controls from said plugins relating to execution of said components and (ii) notification of events related to execution of said components sent to said plugins.
- 2. The framework of claim 1, wherein:
 - (a) said controls include a set of data rate for one of said components.
- 3. The framework of claim 1, wherein:
- (a) said events include notice of failure of meeting a presentation time for one of said components.
- 4. The framework of claim 1, further comprising:
- (a) an applications processor scheduler to determine deadlines for a media stream that can be scheduled on said algorithm processor, and wherein said component scheduler on said algorithm processor schedules a frame at a time of said media stream.

- 5. The framework of claim 1, further comprising:
- (a) a plurality of second algorithm components on a second algorithm processor, with said second algorithm processor in communication with said applications processor and said algorithm processor and said plugins also relate to said second algorithm components.

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(ix) Evidence appendix

n/a

Rule 41.37(c)(1)(x) Related proceedings appendix

n/a